Iâ€™m Baffled Over the Use of â€œSuspectâ€•

Description

It seems a 22 year old man broke into a house where a 66 year old woman and her family were staying last Wednesday.

She shot him as he lunged at her.

Ok, B&E, so whatâ€™s the big deal? On the Sean Hannity Radio Talk Show this afternoon, they played a part of the 911 call tape from this incident and I was alarmed and baffled at what was said by the emergency operator after a gunshot was heard: â€œWas the **SUSPECT** (bold type is mine for effect â€“ ed) shot?â€•

default watermark

Excuse me? Someone calls 911, explains that someone has broken into their house and is threatening them (will all appropriate emotionally charged voices and sound effects) for a reasonable period before the shot rang out, and the the question is worded like there isnâ€™t conclusive evidence enough to â€œlabelâ€• the person committing the crime in real time an â€œintruderâ€• by any chance? Nopeâ€¦I bet the SOPs for the 911 operators train them to call perpetrators â€œsuspectsâ€• so as to not possible give them something they can charge in court as being considered guilty before their trial.

Give me a break. We no longer can name what things are, for fear some lawyer will let the guilty ones off on such a stupid technicality (and the judges will aquiese to such lunacy).

PC run wildâ€¦

Category

1. Political

Date Created
November 11, 2005
Author