
Stop the Murdoch (Flt 93) Memorial Blogburst: Memorial Project officials insist that it was the passengers and crew, not the terrorists, who broke the peace on 9/11

Description



The official explanation for the Crescent of Embrace design is that the path of Flight 93 breaks the circle, turning it into the giant crescent. They call it the Circle of Embrace now, but the Memorial Project's own website acknowledges that the circle is still broken:

In summary, the memorial is shaped in a circular fashion, and the circle is symbolically "broken" or missing trees in two places, depicting the flight path of the plane, and the crash site...

The fact that the Circle of Embrace is really a broken circle means two things. First, it means that the giant crescent is still there. Architect Paul Murdoch *always* described the Crescent of Embrace as a <u>broken circle</u>. Our circle of peace was broken on 9/11, with the unbroken part of the circle, what was symbolically left standing in the wake of 9/11, being the giant Islamic shaped crescent.

Adding an extra arc of trees that explicitly represents a broken off part of the circle leaves the unbroken part unchanged. What is symbolically left standing on the Flight 93 crash site is still a giant Islamic-shaped crescent, still pointing to Mecca.

This prompts the question of WHO is being depicted as breaking the circle of peace on 9/11. Of course it can only be the terrorists. Who else can be charged with breaking the peace? The murdered passengers and crew?

Once you realize that it can only be the terrorists who are being depicted as turning the circle into a crescent, it is obvious that this is actually a memorial to the terrorists (if that wasn't obvious enough already from the Crescent name and the crescent and star flag configuration).

The Memorial Project finally answers our question: insists that it was the passengers and crew who broke the circle

Last spring a couple hundred emailers (thanks!) demanded to know "Who broke the circle?― The Memorial Project issued a boiler-plate response that never got around to answering the question. At

the Memorial Project meeting last summer, however, Alec Rawls was able to pigeon-hole Memorial Project Manager Jeff Reinbold and Deputy Superintendent Keith Newlin.

"You can't just say it was †the flight path' that broke the circle― Rawls admonished. "This is a story of human action. So who did it? In your depiction, who is breaking the circle?―"The passengers and crew,― said Reinbold.

"But the circle is a symbol of peace,― Rawls continued. "Who broke the peace? It was the TERRORISTS who broke the peace on 9/11.―

Reinbold countered that that the circle is also a Druid symbol, and a Christian symbol.

"But it is still a symbol of peace,― said Rawls, especially as the Memorial Project is using it, with the circle being broken on 9/11, "so who breaks it?―

"It was the passengers and crew,― Newlin repeated, elaborating that: "They are the one's who brought the plane down.―

"You don't think it was the terrorists who broke the peace?― Rawls asked.

"They TRIED to break the peace,― said Newlin, "but they failed.―

"Really?― asked Rawls: "They failed to break the peace? What about the 40 murdered heroes?― But Reinbold and Newlin were done talking.

Trying to give credit to the passengers and crew for the outcome of Flight 93 is a perfectly creditable motive on the part of Reinbold and Newlin. They aren't actually trying to blame the passengers and crew for 9/11. What is shameful is their absolute determination not to admit what they perfectly well understand: that it was the terrorists that broke the peace on 9/11.

If they admit this, then they have to acknowledge that Murdoch's design can only be a memorial to the terrorists, who are depicted as breaking our peaceful circle and turning it into a giant Islamic-shaped crescent. The depth of Reinbold's and Newlin's determination not to admit that it was the terrorists who broke the peace is a measure of how clearly they understand the terrorist-memorializing implications.

They KNOW that this is a memorial to the terrorists, and are twisting themselves into knots to try to cover it up.

Not just a memorial to the terrorists, but victory for the terrorists

If the crescent/broken-circle design is built, it will turn Flight 93 into a victory for the 9/11 terrorists. They will actually have succeeded (with the help of architect Paul Murdoch) in planting a giant Meccadirection indicator (the central feature of a mosque) on the Flight 93 crash site.

This is how Islam claims territory: by building mosques. Saudi Arabia spent over a <u>seventy-five billion</u> <u>dollars</u> in the last forty years funding mosques and madrassas to spread its murder-cult brand of Islam around the globe. That's where our present conflict with the Islamic world comes from: Saudi Arabia

spreading the violent orthodoxy of Wahabbi Islam around the world.

Now we are poised to build the world's largest mosque for them, a terrorist memorial mosque, dedicated to the Saudi terrorists who mass-murdered our own countrymen on 9/11, and the Memorial Project KNOWS it. They have to be exposed and stopped.

To join our blogbursts, just <u>send</u> your blog's url. If you want to fund an ad buy, contact Alec Rawls. Petition here.

1389 Blog – Antijihadist Tech A Defending Crusader A Fine Line Between Stupid and Clever A Liberal's Worst Nightmare ACT Golden Gate Al Salibiyyah All American Blogger Almost Midnight in the West American Commentaries And Rightly So Anne Arundel Maryland Politics apocryphal EVIDENCE! ARRA News Service Atlas Shrugs Auntie Coosa Campfire Journal Bare Naked Islam Battle Dress U Because I'm Right Best Destiny Big Dog's Weblog Big Sibling Blackboot Jacks blogito, ergo, sum Bob McCarty Writes Boston Maggie Cao2's Weblog Cao's Blog Chaotic Synaptic Activity Chester Street Chicago Ray Christmas Ghost Classic Liberal Clay Ritter Clay's Rants and Musings Cocked and Loaded Colonel Robert Neville Always Dresses for Dinner Common Sense Junction Concrete Bob Covertress Creeping Sharia

DC Protest Warrior Democrat = Socialist Dr. Bulldog and Ronin Error Theory EW1's Intercept Log Faultline USA Flanders Fields Flopping Aces Founding Fathers of the Vast Right Wing Four Pointer Francase Place Freedom's Enemies Freedom Warrior From My Position On the Way! Ft. Hard Knox Freedom Ain't Free Garbanzo Toons General Rachel's weblog GM's Corner Green Country Values Gunservatively Haid Dasalami Hard to Swallow Holger Awakens Hollywood Conservative Hoosier Army Mom iOwnThewWorld.com Ironic Surrealism II Ivy League Conservatives Jack Lewis Jihad Press Jim-Rose – the Libertarian Popinjay Judge Right Just Barking Mad kae's bloodnut blog Kender's Musings Lemur King's Folly LGF 2.0: Little Green Blogmocracy Maggie's Notebook MELAMPUS'S MENAGERIE!!!! Miss Beth's Victory Dance Monkey in the Middle Muslims Against Sharia My Own Thoughts Neoconstant Nice Deb No Apology No Compromises When It Comes To Being Right! Noli insipientium iniurias pati

Not A Sheep Old Soldier Ogre's Politics and Views Papa Mike's blog Part-Time Pundit

Political Islam Protest The Church Protest The Left Publius' Forum Race, Politics, and Religion in the USA Rayra.net Republican Attack Machine Right on the Right Right Truth Ron's Musings Rosemary's Thoughts Sarah Palin in Español Seattle Express

Sharia Finance Watch Sheepdog Barking Shot in the Dark Sad Old Goth Smooth Stone Space 4 Commerce by Brian Dunbar Stix Blog Stop the ACLU Teen Pundit the Avid Editor

The Conservative Guy The Gadfly The Great Lie of Islam The Grid The Hinge of Fate Liberalguy The Loyal Eagles The Midnight Sun The Mountain

<u>The Paradigm Shift The Political Octagon</u> <u>The Renaissance Biologist The Sanity Sentinel The Sisyphus Files</u>

The Strata-Sphere The Truth of Islam The View From the Turret The Wide Awakes Talk Wisdom Thunder Run Tizona's Weblog Tough Girl 101 Traction Control United Conservatives War of 2 Worlds We Have Some Planes Yes, but can I dance to it?

Category

1. Political

Date Created February 12, 2009 Author admin

